

Vaccine Hesitancy in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis

Preparing for the SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Challenge

Lara Diem, MD, Christoph Friedli, MD, Andrew Chan, MD, Anke Salmen, PD, MD, and Robert Hoepner, PD, MD

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8:e991. doi:10.1212/NXI.0000000000000991

Correspondence

Dr. Diem
larafrancesca.diem@insel.ch

Abstract

Objective

Vaccine hesitancy is a complex public health issue referring to concerns about safety, efficacy, or need for vaccination. Using pneumococcal vaccination, which is recommended in anti-CD20–treated multiple sclerosis (MS) patients, as a model, we assessed vaccination behavior in patients with MS to prepare for the upcoming SARS-CoV-2 vaccination challenge.

Methods

By a medical chart review, we retrospectively identified patients with MS treated with ocrelizumab at the University Hospital Bern in 2018–2020. Pneumococcal vaccination was discussed with the patients during clinical visits and highlighted in the after-visit summary addressed to the general practitioner before ocrelizumab initiation as part of our clinical standard of care.

Results

Pneumococcal vaccination was performed in 71/121 (58.7%) of patients, and 50/121 (41.3%) patients were not vaccinated. Patients who did not get a pneumococcal vaccination were younger (no vaccination vs vaccination; mean [95% CI] 40.1 [36.1–44.1] vs 45.4 [41.9–48.8], $p = 0.028$) and had more frequently a relapsing remitting disease course (no vaccination vs vaccination, n [%]; 43/50 [86.0%] vs 49/71 [69.0%], $p = 0.031$). Furthermore, patients who did not get vaccination had more frequently a history of comorbid psychiatric disorder (no vaccination vs vaccination, n [%]; 12/50 [24.0] vs 7/71 [9.8], $p = 0.035$).

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that in our single-center cohort, 41.3% of patients with MS do not get the recommended pneumococcal vaccination. Future research should focus on vaccine hesitancy in the vulnerable cohort of patients with MS to improve the safety of MS immunotherapies.

From the Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Bern, Switzerland.

Go to [Neurology.org/NN](https://www.neurology.org/NN) for full disclosures. Funding information is provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by the authors.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND), which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Glossary

CAM = complementary and alternative medicine; **EDSS** = Expanded Disability Status Scale; **FOPH** = Federal Office of Public Health; **MS** = multiple sclerosis; **OCR** = ocrelizumab.

Vaccine hesitancy is a complex public health issue referring to concerns about the safety, efficacy, or need for vaccination.¹ Each year, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is estimated to cause more than 1,000 serious infections in Switzerland.² Patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) treated with ocrelizumab (OCR, Ocrevus, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) are at risk of *S. pneumoniae* infections. The Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH) recommends pneumococcal vaccination in patients treated with immunosuppressants including OCR.³ Using pneumococcal vaccination as a model, we aimed to assess vaccination behavior in patients with MS to prepare for the upcoming SARS-CoV-2 vaccination challenge.

Methods

By a medical chart review, we retrospectively identified patients with MS treated with OCR at the University Hospital Bern in 2018–2020 leading to a cohort of 166 patients. Of 166 patients, 45 patients were excluded because of missing clinical information regarding vaccination status because of the retrospective nature of our study, resulting in a cohort of 121 patients (table e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A466). Pneumococcal vaccination was recommended as indicated per FOPH guidelines,³ discussed with the patients during clinical visits, and highlighted in the after-visit summary addressed to the general practitioner before OCR initiation as part of our clinical standard of care.

The following variables were extracted from medical records: age, sex, Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), MS diagnosis, type of MS, disease modifying therapies before switching, time of vaccination, reason for missing vaccination, clinical relapse before OCR start, MRI activity—defined as gadolinium-enhancing lesion and/or new/enlarging T2 lesion—1 year before/after start of therapy/vaccination, and concomitant psychiatric disorders. Continuous variables are presented as mean and 95% CIs, whereas categorical variables are reported as frequencies. Continuous variables are compared using Mann-Whitney U and Wilcoxon signed rank tests and categorical variables with the χ^2 test.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

The study was approved by the Cantonal Ethics Committee (KEK-BE #2017-01369).

Data Availability

Anonymized source data are available upon reasonable request via the corresponding author.

Results

Patients were 43.2 years old (mean, 95% CI 40.6–45.8), predominantly female 75/121 (62.0%), and had a moderate degree of disability (mean EDSS (95% CI): 3.1 (2.8–3.4); table 1).

Pneumococcal vaccination was performed in 71/121 (58.7%) of patients in mean 4.0 weeks before first dose of OCR (95% CI 0.2–7.8) with a range of 46.44 weeks before and 68.53 weeks after first dose OCR. In total, 50/121 (41.3%) patients were not vaccinated. In these 50 patients, the reasons for lack of vaccination were as follows: lack of adherence to vaccination recommendations (n = 30), MS disease activity (n = 11), general vaccination recommendation (not specifically for pneumococcal vaccine) in after-visit summary addressed to the general practitioner (n = 8), and unexpected pregnancy (n = 1).

Patients who did not get a pneumococcal vaccination were younger (no vaccination vs vaccination; mean [95% CI] 40.1 [36.1–44.1] vs 45.4 [41.9–48.8], $p = 0.028$) and had more frequently a relapsing remitting (RR) disease course (no vaccination vs vaccination, n [%]; 43/50 [86.0%] vs 49/71 [69.0%], $p = 0.031$). Furthermore, patients who did not get a pneumococcal vaccination had more frequently a history of comorbid psychiatric disorder (no vaccination vs vaccination, n [%]; 12/50 [24.0] vs 7/71 [9.8], $p = 0.035$) including depression (n = 8), psychosis (n = 2), history of drug abuse (n = 1), and borderline personality disorder (n = 1) in those without vaccination.

MS immunotherapies before initiation of OCR had an impact on vaccination behavior: untreated patients with MS were vaccinated more frequently compared with treated patients with MS (14/50 [28.0%] vs 34/71 [47.8%], $p = 0.028$). Clinical and MRI disease activity within 12 months before OCR did not differ between patients with and without vaccination. Initiation of OCR resulted in a reduction of disease activity in both patient cohorts. Because date of vaccination differs from date of first OCR treatment, we also investigated in the subgroup of vaccinated MS patients for clinical and radiologic disease activity within 1 year before and after vaccination, which demonstrates a similar reduction of disease activity after OCR compared with the whole cohort (table 1).

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that in our single-center cohort, 41.3% of patients with MS do not get the recommended pneumococcal vaccination before initiation of OCR. Those

Table 1 Patient Characteristics Concerning Pneumococcal Vaccination Status

Variable	All patients (n = 121)		
Female, n (%)	75/121 (62.0)		
Age (y), mean (95% CI)	43.2 (40.6–45.8)		
MS type, n (%)			
RRMS	92/121 (76.0)		
PPMS	29/121 (24.0)		
EDSS, mean (95% CI)	3.1 (2.8–3.4)		
Disease duration (y), mean (95% CI)	6.5 (5.3–7.7)		
DMT before switch			
No medication	48/121 (39.7)		
First-line treatment	26/121 (21.5)		
Second-line treatment	47/121 (38.8)		
Vaccinated patients	71/121 (58.7)		
Time between vaccination and first OCR dose (wk), mean (95% CI)	4.0 (0.2–7.8)		
Reason for being unvaccinated			
Lack of adherence to vaccination recommendations	30/50 (60.0)		
Only general vaccination recommendation	8/50 (14.0)		
Disease activity	11/50 (22.0)		
Pregnancy	1/50 (2.0)		
Variable	With vaccination (n = 71)	Without vaccination (n = 50)	p Value
Female, n (%)	43/71 (60.6)	32/50 (64.0)	0.701
Age (y), mean (95% CI)	45.4 (41.9–48.8)	40.1 (36.1–44.1)	0.028
EDSS, mean (95% CI)	3.1 (2.7–3.5)	3.0 (2.5–3.5)	0.793
RRMS, n (%)	49/71 (69.0)	43/50 (86.0)	0.031
Disease duration (y), mean (95% CI)	6.1 (4.4–6.8)	6.8 (5.0–8.5)	0.916
No medication, n (%)	34/71 (47.9)	14/50 (28.0)	0.028
First-line treatment, n (%)	12/37 (32.4)	14/36 (38.9)	0.565
Second-line treatment, n (%)	25/37 (67.6)	22/36 (61.1)	0.565
Psychiatric disorder, n (%)	7/71 (9.8)	12/50 (24.0)	0.035
Clinical relapse 12 mo before OCR start, n (%)	19/71 (26.8)	16/50 (32.0)	0.531
Clinical relapse 12 mo after OCR start, n (%)	0/71	0/50	1.0
MRI activity 12 mo before OCR start, n (%)	30/62 (48.4)	26/45 (57.8)	0.337
MRI activity 12 mo after OCR start, n (%)	0/49	1/32	0.213
Variable	1 year before OCR (n = 121)	1 year after OCR (n = 121)	p Value
Clinical activity, n (%)	35/121 (28.9)	0/121 (0)	<0.001
MRI activity, n (%)	56/107 (52.3)	1/81 (0)	<0.001
Variable	1 year before vaccination (n = 71)	1 year after vaccination (n = 71)	p Value
Clinical activity, n (%)	19/71 (26.8)	0/71 (0)	<0.001
MRI activity, n (%)	30/62 (48.4)	0/49 (0)	<0.001

Abbreviations: DMT = disease modifying therapy; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; n.a = not applicable; OCR = ocrelizumab; PPMS = primary progressive multiple sclerosis; RRMS = relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis.

MRI activity was defined as the presence of gadolinium-enhancing lesion/s and/or new or enlarging T2 lesion/s on cMRI.

Statistics: Continuous variables are presented as mean and 95% CIs, whereas categorical variables are reported as frequencies. Continuous variables are compared using Mann-Whitney *U* and Wilcoxon signed rank tests and categorical variables with the χ^2 test. Significant *p* values are highlighted in bold letters.

patients were younger and had more frequently an RR disease course and a psychiatric comorbidity. This finding may be related to the behavior of young people toward vaccination. Often, young people argue that the corresponding diseases do not affect them and that the risk is mainly in older people.

Moreover, patients with use of disease-modifying treatments before initiation of OCR, which should not influence the decision to vaccinate against *S. pneumoniae*, did get vaccinations less frequently compared with untreated patients. MS disease activation after pneumococcal vaccination could not be observed neither in clinical relapses nor in MRI disease activity. These findings are crucial because the fear of disease activity is a main argument against vaccination in people with MS.⁴ This study showed that vaccine hesitancy remains a widespread problem in our monocentric cohort. Vaccine hesitancy is defined as the delay in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite the availability of vaccination services.⁵ Vaccine hesitancy is an individual behavior influenced by a range of factors, such as knowledge or experiences in the past. The absence of awareness about “who and why” one should be vaccinated and self-estimated (in)sufficiency of information about vaccination is frequently associated with vaccination decisions.⁶ Perceived importance of vaccination is an individual determinant of vaccine adherence. Individuals who refuse or are hesitant regarding vaccination often have an own worldview regarding health (e.g., importance of natural immunity).⁷ Similarly, the increasing popularity of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) plays an important role in the vaccination skepticism of the population. In fact, refusal of vaccination is significantly more frequent among CAM-users than among nonusers. Patients who consulted physicians practicing herbal medicine, anthroposophical medicine, or homeopathy reported the highest frequencies of vaccination refusal.⁸ In addition, experiences with vaccinations in the past can influence future decision-making regarding vaccination. Vaccine hesitancy can also be the result of broader influences and should always be looked at in the historical, political, and sociocultural context in which vaccination is discussed. The trust placed in the healthcare system, in the doctors who recommend and administer vaccines, and in the different types of vaccine information conveyed by the media plays an important role in the decision to be vaccinated.⁹ Unfortunately, vaccine misinformation on major social media companies is a common problem, and the companies are facing wide criticism for failing to deal with vaccine misinformation on their platforms. Especially in the light of the newly available COVID-19 vaccine, misinformation on social media is undoubtedly going to pose a serious barrier to uptake.¹⁰ The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization Working Group discussed that poor communication of healthcare professionals plays an important role in the vaccine hesitancy. When communication of healthcare professionals is poor or inadequate, it can negatively influence vaccination uptake and contribute to vaccine hesitancy.⁵ Therefore, clear and targeted education, also taking into account social media, about vaccination is imperative. Obviously, we cannot extrapolate these data concerning pneumococcal vaccination without further ado

on the now available COVID-19 vaccination. However, our short retrospective observation with all limitations inherent of the design highlights the fact that physicians caring for people with MS should proactively initiate vaccination discussions with their patients and follow up on the execution of the recommendation. Further studies of vaccination behavior in patients with MS are warranted, especially in the light of the current pandemic.

Study Funding

The authors report no targeted funding.

Disclosure

All authors report no disclosures relevant to the article. Go to [Neurology.org/NN](https://www.neurology.org/NN) for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology: Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation* December 23, 2020. Accepted in final form March 3, 2021.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Lara Diem, MD	Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Switzerland	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data
Christoph Friedli, MD	Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Switzerland	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content
Andrew Chan, MD	Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Switzerland	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content
Anke Salmen, PD, MD	Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Switzerland	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content
Robert Hoepner, PD, MD	Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital and University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse, Switzerland	Drafting/revision of the article for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data

References

- Deml MJ, Jafflin K, Merten S, et al. Determinants of vaccine hesitancy in Switzerland: study protocol of a mixed-methods national research programme. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e032218.
- Bundesamt für Gesundheit (BAG) Pneumokokken Erkrankung. [bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/krankheiten/krankheiten-im-ueberblick/pneumokokken-erkrankungen.html](https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/krankheiten/krankheiten-im-ueberblick/pneumokokken-erkrankungen.html).
- Bundesamt für Gesundheit. Schweizerischer Impfplan 2020. [bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/gesund-leben/gesundheitsfoerderung-und-praevention/impfungen-prophylaxe/schweizerischer-impfplan.html](https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/de/home/gesund-leben/gesundheitsfoerderung-und-praevention/impfungen-prophylaxe/schweizerischer-impfplan.html).
- Williamson EM, Chahin S, Berger JR. Vaccines in multiple sclerosis. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2016;16:36.
- McDonald N; the SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy. Vaccine hesitancy: definition, scope and determinants. *Vaccine*. 2015;33:4161–4164.

6. Streefland P, Chowdhury AM, Ramos-Jimenez P. Patterns of vaccination acceptance. *Soc Sci Med.* 1999;49:1705–1716.
7. Guay M, Gallagher F, Petit G, Ménard S, Clément P, Boyer G. Pourquoi les couvertures vaccinales chez les nourrissons de l'Estrie sont-elles sous-optimales? Sherbrooke, QC: Centre de santé et de services sociaux - Institut universitaire de gériatrie de Sherbrooke, Direction de la coordination et des affaires académiques; 2009.
8. Zuzak T, Zuzak-Siegrist I, Rist L, Staubli G, Simoes-Wüst AP. Attitudes towards vaccination: users of complementary and alternative medicine versus non-users. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2008;138:713–718.
9. Larson HJ, Cooper LZ, Eskola J, Katz SL, Ratzan S. Addressing the vaccine confidence gap. *Lancet.* 2011;378:526–535.
10. Wardle C, Singerman E. Too little, too late: social media companies' failure to tackle vaccine misinformation poses a real threat. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n26.

Neurology[®] Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation

Vaccine Hesitancy in Patients With Multiple Sclerosis: Preparing for the SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination Challenge

Lara Diem, Christoph Friedli, Andrew Chan, et al.
Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm 2021;8;
DOI 10.1212/NXI.0000000000000991

This information is current as of April 2, 2021

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/3/e991.full.html
References	This article cites 7 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: http://nn.neurology.org/content/8/3/e991.full.html##ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Multiple sclerosis http://nn.neurology.org/cgi/collection/multiple_sclerosis
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://nn.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus

Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm is an official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published since April 2014, it is an open-access, online-only, continuous publication journal. Copyright Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Online ISSN: 2332-7812.

